

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,213		04/19/2001	Takeshi Yamawaki	35.G2776	6923
5514	7590	12/03/2002		·	
FITZPATE	NICK CE	LLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEI NEW YORI			GLASS, CHRISTOPHER W		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2878	
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/03/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner			I A . II . II	MV.				
## Examiner Christopher W. Glass 278 ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — ## Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ## Period for Reply Section and Providence and STORY IS 1.03(a). In rowent, however, may a reply be timely filed of the providence and STORY IS 1.03(a). In rowent, however, may a reply be timely filed of the providence and STORY IS 1.03(a). In rowent, however, may a reply be timely filed of the providence and STORY IS 1.03(a). In rowent, however, may a reply be timely filed of the providence and STORY IS 1.03(a). In rowent, however, may a reply be timely filed of the providence and STORY IS 1.03(a). In rowent, however, may a reply be timely filed of the providence and statutory restored with apply and will expire its XI (b) MXNI his from the mailing date of this communication, over if timely filed, may reduce any seasons placed to reply a specified store. In a more maining date of this communication, over if timely filed, may reduce any seasons placed to the maining time the mailing date of this communication, over if timely filed, may reduce any seasons placed them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2002. ### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2002. ### Claim(s) 1.20 is/are pending in the application. ### Application of Claims ### Application is objected to by the Examiner. ### Application Papers ### Application is objected to by the Examiner. ### Application Papers ### Application is objected to by the Examiner. ### Application Papers ### Application is objected to by the Examiner. ### Application Papers ### Application Papers ### Application is objected to by the Examiner. ### Application Papers ### Applicati	• •		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Christopher W. Glass 278 Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE Of this Communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply within the stitution minimum or binary (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply within the stitution minimum or binary (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply within the stitution minimum or binary (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply within the stitution minimum or binary (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply within the stitution minimum or binary (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply withink the stitution or large withink (30) days and the considered timely. Application is office in above date in the making date of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search garden timely. Application is FINAL. 2 b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application in the drawing(s) beheld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is. a) paper a		Office Action Summer	09/837,213	YAMAWAKI, TAKESHI				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the many to available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.138(a). In role event, however, may a reply be timely fleet of the period for reply septicide above is less than thirty (90) days, an exply within the statutiony minimum of binery (30) days wit be considered timely. If the period for reply septicide shows, the maximum statutory period will agine and will explicit the first or the period for reply septicide shows. The maximum statutory period will agine with well cells (10) MONTHS from the untilling date of this communication. If the period for reply septicide with the period for reply septicide of the communication. If the period for reply septicided by the Office later than three mailths after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fleet, may reduce they seared patient turns adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4). Status Status Status Status Status Status Status Signer this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 3) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 3) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) accepted or b blied to period drawing correction filed on is/are: a) accepted to by the Examiner. 10) The proposed drawing correction filed on as subject to require the pri	Oπice Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENEO STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. active SIX (e) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified allows is less than thry (30 days, a reply within the statutory retirement of thinty (30) days with the statutory retirement of this communication. Any in the statutory of the s		T	1	1				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of birm may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.15(e). In no event, however, may a righty be timely filed after SX (6) MOCHTS from the realiting date of this communication. It is not been to the provision of the prov	Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: al accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is: a) ☐ approved, corrected by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: al accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is: a) ☐ approved, corrected by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 S	September 2002 .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)⊠		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims 4)	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is: a) ☒ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Palent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Dispositi		Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.				
5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 23	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is: a) ☒ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 21 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is: a) ☑ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) □ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is: a) ☑ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 23 September 2002 is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>23 September 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)[,	•					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<u></u>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	ion No				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment	t(s)						
	2) D Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal I					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Title

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 23, 2002 have been fully considered but they are 2. not persuasive. The applicant contends that the Tomita reference (U.S. Patent No. 5,161,047 to Tomita et al.) does not teach the light source or laser beam continuously lit when scanning outside of a scanning surface. Page 11 of the remarks states "Tomita is not understood to disclose keeping the light or laser beam continuously lit when not being focused on the target surface." However, the examiner respectfully disagrees and holds that there is nothing within the Tomita reference which supports this argument. Descriptions of Tomita (see Column 2, lines 28-46, Column 3, line 56 – Column 4, lines 11) only discuss the light source 10 as continuously generating a light beam for scanning of the surface 26. While Tomita does not expressly state that the light beam is kept continuously lit when not being focused on the target surface, the examiner holds that one having ordinary skill in the art would assume that the light source in such a scanning system is operating in a continuously lit manner once it is initially turned on, unless there is express teaching to the contrary. Tomita does not include any such teaching, nor does it discuss any scanning embodiments having a pulsing light source, on/off control circuitry, start of scan/end of scan detectors, or any other functional elements used to prevent the light source from remaining lit during all scanning operations, including those outside of the effective scanning area of the scanning surface.

Art Unit: 2878

On page 12 of the applicant's remarks it is contended that the other applied references are deficient because, to the applicant, it is "not understood" that these references render the claimed invention unpatentable when combined with Tomita. Specifically, the examiner disagrees with the contention that these references do not disclose the feature of directing a light beam emitted from a light source to a deflection surface in a beam width wider than the deflection surface. It should be noted that no sections of the specifications or Figures of these references have been cited by the applicant to support this position. The examiner therefore maintains that the claimed invention is anticipated by or unpatentable over the previously mentioned prior art, and that the following rejections are deemed proper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1,7,15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,161,047 to Tomita et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 15: Figures 1A and 1B of Tomita show an optical scanning device comprising a first optical system, including a light source 10, for directing a light beam emitted from the light source to deflection means 18,19, and a second optical system for focusing the light beam (via θ lens 20, light shield 22, and anamorphic lens 24), deflected by the deflection means 18,19, on an effective scanning area of a scanning surface 26. The light source 10 is continuously kept lit when scanning outside of the effective scanning area and a light shield

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/837,213

Art Unit: 2878

member 22 is positioned between the deflection means 18,19 and the scanning surface 26 to block at least a portion of the light beam emitted during the period of time when the light source is continuously kept lit when scanning outside of the effective scanning area.

Regarding claims 7 and 18: Tomita shows in Figures 1A and 1B an optical scanning device comprising a first optical system, including a light source 10, for directing a light beam emitted from the light source to a deflection surface 19 of deflection means 18 in a beam width wider than the width of the deflection surface 19 in a main scan direction. Also disclosed is a second optical system (comprising f0 lens 20, light shield 22, and anamorphic lens 24) for focusing the light beam deflected by the deflection means 18,19, on an effective scanning area of a scanning surface 26. The light source 10 is continuously kept lit when scanning outside of the effective scanning area and a light shield member 22 is positioned between the deflection means 18,19 and the scanning surface 26 to block at least a portion of the light beam emitted during the period of time when the light source is continuously kept lit when scanning outside of the effective scanning area.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2,8,10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomita.

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claims 2 and 8: Although the disclosure of Tomita does not specifically cite an optical scanning device having a scanning efficiency of 70% or higher, or 80% or higher (where "scanning efficiency" is taken to be "the ratio of a theoretical scanning angle range, within which one deflection surface can scan a light beam, to a scanning angle range for scanning an effective scanning area"), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the device of Tomita to exhibit such an efficiency (specification, page 3, lines 8-11). This would involve only routine experimentation with the optical system elements such as the light source 10 and deflection means 18,19 (see Figures 1A and 1B). It has been held that discovering optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 10: Tomita shows in Figures 1A and 1B an optical scanning device comprising a first optical system, including a light source 10, for directing a light beam emitted from the light source to a deflection surface 19 of deflection means 18 in a beam width wider than the width of the deflection surface 19 in a main scan direction. Also disclosed is a second optical system (comprising f0 lens 20, light shield 22, and anamorphic lens 24) for focusing the light beam reflected and deflected by the deflection means 18,19, on an effective scanning area of a scanning surface 26, thereby scanning a plurality of lines on the effective scanning area. The light source 10 remains lit during a period of time between the scanning of each of the plurality of lines on the effective scanning area and a light shield member 22 is positioned between the deflection means 18,19 and the scanning surface 26 to block at least a portion of the light beam emitted during the period of time between the scanning of each of the plurality of lines on the effective scanning area.

Art Unit: 2878

The deflection means 18 shown by Figure 1A of Tomita shows the border area between adjacent deflection surfaces 19 as being somewhat continuous and not having a significant corner or separate surface between adjacent deflection surfaces, and Figure 2C shows a configuration wherein the polygon deflection means 18A comprises anti-reflection zones 1B and reflection zones 1A "having a predetermined width" (Column 5, line 46). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to configure the deflection means 18,19 of Tomita to include a border area between adjacent deflection surfaces in a main scan direction having a width of 1% or less of the width of each deflection surface. While the corner border areas of Figure 1A are not expressly disclosed as exhibiting this ratio, it would have been obvious to construct them to have such a relationship, or to provide, as the border areas, reflection zones 1A or anti-reflection zones 1B disposed vertically rather than laterally as shown in Figure 2C, in order for these border areas to have a substantially negligible effect on the scanning performed on the scanning surface 26. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable (e.g. the width of the border areas) involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claim 11: Tomita shows in Figures 1A and 1B an optical scanning device comprising a first optical system, including a light source 10, for directing a light beam emitted from the light source to a deflection surface 19 of deflection means 18 in a beam width wider than the width of the deflection surface 19 in a main scan direction. Also disclosed is a second optical system (comprising θ lens 20, light shield 22, and anamorphic lens 24) for focusing the light beam reflected and deflected by the deflection means 18,19, on an effective scanning area of a scanning surface 26, thereby scanning a plurality of lines on the effective scanning area.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,213 Page 7

Art Unit: 2878

The light source 10 remains lit during a period of time between the scanning of each of the plurality of lines on the effective scanning area and a light shield member 22 is positioned between the deflection means 18,19 and the scanning surface 26 to block at least a portion of the light beam emitted during the period of time between the scanning of each of the plurality of lines on the effective scanning area.

The border area between adjacent deflection surfaces of the deflection means shown by

Figure 1A of Tomita is not expressly disclosed as having one deflection surface 19 extending

over the other adjacent deflection surface, and the length of the extension in a main scan

direction is not specifically cited as being 5% or less of the beam width of the light beam

reflected and deflected from the deflection surface 19 in the main scanning direction. However,

it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to extend the deflection surfaces 19 of Tomita by such a length, or to dispose vertically a

reflection zone 1A (Figure 2C) on the border areas, in order to enhance the deflection capabilities

and effective scanning area for the optical scanning system.

7. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomita, in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,288,818 to Yoshimaru. The modified device of Tomita shows in Figure 1A the light beam from the first optical system (comprising light source 10) incident at a right angle on a deflection surface 19 of the deflection means 18 in a sub scanning cross-sectional plane. While the modified device of Tomita does not expressly disclose the light beam as incident at an oblique angle, it is well known in the art to configure the optical elements to produce a light beam having such an orientation. Yoshimaru shows in Figure 1 an optical scanning device having a light beam from source 12 incident at an oblique angle on a deflection

Art Unit: 2878

surface of the deflection means 4 in a sub scanning cross-sectional plane. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the light source 10 of Tomita in this fashion, in relation to the deflection means and surface 18,19, in order to change aspects of the resulting scanning area formed on scanning surface 26, as desired.

8. Claims 4,5,12,13,16,17,19,and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomita, in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,750,986 to Genovese.

Regarding claims 4,12,16, and 19: Figures 1A and 1B of Tomita show an optical scanning device comprising a first optical system, including a light source 10, for directing a light beam emitted from the light source to deflection means 18,19, and a second optical system for focusing the light beam (via fθ lens 20, light shield 22, and anamorphic lens 24), reflected and deflected by the deflection means 18,19, on an effective scanning area of a scanning surface 26, thereby scanning a plurality of lines on the effective scanning area. The light source 10 remains lit during a period of time between the scanning of each of the plurality of lines on the effective scanning area and a light shield member 22 is positioned between the deflection means 18,19 and the scanning surface 26 to block at least a portion of the light beam emitted during the period of time between the scanning of each of the plurality of lines on the effective scanning area.

Tomita does not expressly disclose a photoconductive body arranged on the scanning surface **26** of the scanning device, a development means for developing, into a toner image, an electrostatic latent image that has been formed with the light beam scanning the photoconductive body, a transfer means for transferring the developed toner image onto a paper sheet, and a fixing

Art Unit: 2878

means for fixing the transferred toner image onto the paper sheet. However, it is well known to implement these elements in an image forming apparatus. Figure 1 of Genovese shows an image forming apparatus comprising an optical scanning device 24, having a photoconductive body 10 arranged on the scanning surface of the optical scanning device 24, a development means (stations B and C, see Column 4, lines 20-60) for developing, into a toner image, an electrostatic latent image that has been formed with the light beam (from optical scanning device 24, see also Figure 2) scanning the photoconductive body 10, and a transfer means and fixing means (station D, see Column 4, line 61- Column 5, lines 1-3 and 41-53) for transferring the developed toner image onto a paper sheet 60 and for fixing the transferred toner image onto the paper sheet 60, respectively. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the photoconductive body, development means, transfer means, and fixing means of Genovese in the device of Tomita, in order for an image scanned onto the scanning surface 26 (Figure 1A and 1B, Tomita) to be developed and transferred as an image onto a paper sheet.

Regarding claims 5,13,17, and 20: Figures 1A and 1B of Tomita show an optical scanning device comprising a first optical system, including a light source 10, for directing a light beam emitted from the light source to deflection means 18,19, in a beam width wider than the width of the deflection surface 19 in a main scan direction, and a second optical system for focusing the light beam (via θ lens 20, light shield 22, and anamorphic lens 24), reflected and deflected by the deflection means 18,19, on an effective scanning area of a scanning surface 26, thereby scanning a plurality of lines on the effective scanning area. The light source 10 remains lit during a period of time between the scanning of each of the plurality of lines on the effective

Art Unit: 2878

scanning area and a light shield member 22 is positioned between the deflection means 18,19 and the scanning surface to block at least a portion of the light beam emitted during the period of time between the scanning of each of the plurality of lines on the effective scanning area.

Tomita does not specifically cite a printer controller as being employed for converting code data input from an external device into an image signal and feeding the image signal to the optical scanning apparatus. However, it is well known in the art to implement printer control in such a device. Genovese shows in Figure 2 an optical scanning device, comprising a sensor network 106 and data source and laser driver 152. "The sensor network 106 generates a start-of-scan signal 108 and a differential beam intensity signal 110... Signals from the sensor network 106 and an image data source control laser driver circuit 152, which provides a timed data stream that represents the desired image in the form of electrical current that excites the laser diodes 150 and 151" (Column 4, lines 35-43). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the sensor network 106 and laser driver circuit 152 of Genovese in the device of Tomita, to convert and feed data, such as from a computer, to the optical scanning device, and in order to control and process images to be formed.

Regarding claims 6 and 14: The image forming apparatus of the modified device of Tomita forms images through a Background Area Exposure process (see Column 4, lines 44-60).

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2878

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher W. Glass whose telephone number is 703-305-1980.

The examiner can normally be reached 9:30am-6:00pm, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached at 703-308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

cg

November 25, 2002

STEPHONE ALLEN